



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,661	12/14/2000	Arturo A. Rodriguez	60374.0052USU1/A-6280	8279
62658	7590	03/09/2010	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA, A CISCO COMPANY P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			AN, SHAWN S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		2621	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/09/2010	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/736,661	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RODRIGUEZ ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> SHAWN AN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2009.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 38, 53-55, 71-78, 80-82, and 85 -89 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 38, 53-55, 71-78, 80-82, and 85 -89 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/09; 10/26/09; 1/6/10.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. As per Applicant's instruction as filed on 12/16/09, claim 1-37, 39-52, 56-70, 79, and 83-84 have been canceled, and claims 38, 53-55, and 78 have been amended, and claim 89 has been newly added.

### ***Response to Remarks***

2. Applicant's remarks with respect to amended claims as filed on 12/16/09 have been carefully considered and found to be persuasive with respect to previously rejected 101 claims, but all of the currently pending claims are moot in view of the following new ground(s) of rejection.

Furthermore, as per Applicant's main arguments regarding the recited "retrieving ..., and transferring ...," , please refer to the following ground(s) of rejection.

Moreover, please note Macinnis et al discloses video decoder (Fig. 2, 50) to the video scaler (Fig. 2, 52), wherein the scaler may perform both downscaling and upscaling of digital/analog video as needed (col. 5, lines 65-66), which is substantially the same/similar design as Applicant's decoder (Fig. 4, 81) to the video scaler (83).

Therefore, Macinnis et al discloses downscaling after decompressed video frames/pictures.

Moreover, Boyce et al not only teaches storing frames in reduce resolution, but also teaches downscaling by performing IDCT (inverse discrete cosine transform) and IQ (inverse quantization)(col. 18, lines 12-38). In other words, the reduced resolution decoder inherently has to downscale a standard frame into a much smaller size frame in order to display the reduced frame into the main frame itself as a picture in picture format as discussed above.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 38, 53-55, 71-78, 80-82, and 85 -89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacInnis et al (6,570,579 B1) in view of Boyce et al (5,614,952) and Kalra et al (5,953,506).

**Regarding claim 38**, MacInnis et al discloses a method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT (abs.; Fig. 1), comprising:

determining by the DHCT (set-top box)(abs.), whether one of a resource constrained mode or a non-resource restraint mode is to be initiated, the DHCT capable of operating in the non-resource constraint mode (does not have real time constraints) and a resource constraint mode (specific bandwidth requirement mode);

responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained mode is to be initiated, *operating* the DHCT in the determined resource- constrained mode (col. 55, lines 17-35)(col. 54, lines 36-48; col. 55, lines 8-17);

retrieving a set of reconstructed decompressed (decoded) video frames (Fig. 2, 50) from a first portion of a memory component, wherein the set of video frames corresponds to a video picture stored in the first portion (Fig. 2, Memory; col. 5, lines 5-18); and

*transferring* the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed (decoded) video frames (Fig. 2, 50) to a display device (abs.; television display; Fig. 2, Video Out) and downscaling (52; col. 5, lines 65-67; col. 6, lines 1-9) the video picture.

MacInnis et al does not seem to particularly disclose transferring the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed video frames to a display device **while downscaling the video picture in transit to the display device**, operating in a **plurality** of resource constraint **modes**, and determining whether **one** of the resource constrained **modes** is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that **one** of the resource

constrained **modes** is to be initiated, *operating* the DHCT in the determined resource-constrained mode.

MacInnis et al also does not seem to particularly disclose the memory component storing compressed video data in a distinct second portion.

However, Kalra et al teaches a scalable media delivery system, comprising operating in a plurality of resource constraint **modes**, and determining whether one of the resources constrained **modes** is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained **modes** is to be initiated, operating the determined resource-constrained mode (col. 17, lines 10-67; col. 18, lines 1-24) for reproducing video frames with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer (col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-3).

Furthermore, Boyce et al teaches digital video decoder comprising retrieving a set of reconstructed decompressed (decoded) video data from a first portion (Fig. 1, 118) of a memory component (114), wherein the memory component stores compressed video data in a distinct second portion (116), wherein the set of video data corresponds to a video picture (col. 4, lines 64-67; col. 5, lines 1-4; col. 10, lines 44-50) for efficiently managing the memory resources such as size or the bandwidth (col. 10, lines 1-4).

Moreover, Boyce et al teaches transferring the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed (decoded) video data (from Fig. 4, 402 and 403) to a display device (TO DISPLAY) while downscaling (Reduced Resolution) the video picture in transit to the display device for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders (Fig. 4, col. 17, lines 66-67; col. 18, lines 12-38; col. 2, lines 37-40).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT as taught by MacInnis et al to incorporate all of the teachings as taught by Kalra et al and Boyce et al so as to transfer the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed video frames to a display device while downscaling the video picture in transit to the display device for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring

the cost of multiple full resolution decoders, and to operate in a plurality of resource constraint modes and determine whether resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, *operating* the DHCT in the determined resource- constrained mode for reproducing video images with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer, and also incorporate the memory component storing compressed video data in a distinct second portion for efficiently managing the memory resources such as size or the bandwidth.

**Regarding claims 53-54 and 89,** MacInnis et al discloses a DHCT and a method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT (abs.; Fig. 1) comprising:

a processor (22);

determining by the DHCT (set-top box)(abs.), whether a resource constrained mode is to be initiated, the DHCT capable of operating in the non-resource constraint mode (does not have real time constraints) and a resource constraint mode (specific bandwidth requirement mode);

responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained mode is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode (col. 55, lines 17-35)(col. 54, lines 36-48; col. 55, lines 8-17);

retrieving from a first portion of a memory component (Fig. 1, VIDEO IN), a set of compressed pictures (Fig. 2, Video In entering Video Decoder);

storing in a second memory component (Fig. 2, Memory ;Fig. 1, element 28; col. 3, lines 1-3) a set of decoded pictures (from 50) corresponding to the set of compressed pictures, each of the set of decoded pictures being at a first spatial resolution (Fig. 3, 52; col. 3, lines 1-3);

retrieving from the second memory component the set of reconstructed decoded pictures/frames (Fig. 2, 50; col. 3, lines 1-3); and

transferring the set of retrieved decoded video pictures/frames (Fig. 2, 50) to a display device (abs.; television display; Fig. 2, Video Out), and scaling (52; col. 5, lines 65-67; col. 6, lines 1-9) the video pictures/frames.

MacInnis et al does not seem to particularly disclose operating in **a plurality** of resource constrained modes, and determining whether **one** of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that **one** of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode, and transferring a set of retrieved decoded pictures to a display device **while scaling the video picture in transit to the display device to a second spatial resolution without storing pictures in a memory component**, wherein the second spatial resolution is smaller than the first spatial resolution.

MacInnis et al also does not seem to particularly disclose the memory component storing and retrieving a set of decoded pictures in a distinct second portion.

However, Kalra et al teaches a scalable media delivery system, comprising operating in **a plurality** of resource constraint modes, and determining whether **one** of the resources constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that **one** of the resource constrained mode is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode (col. 17, lines 10-67; col. 18, lines 1-24) for reproducing video images with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer (col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-3).

Furthermore, Boyce et al teaches digital video decoder comprising retrieving a set of compressed pictures/frames from a first portion (Fig. 1, 116) of a memory component (114), wherein the memory component stores decoded video pictures/frames in a distinct second portion (116) of the memory component, wherein the set of video frames corresponding to video pictures/frames (col. 4, lines 64-67; col. 5, lines 1-4; col. 10, lines 44-50), and transferring a set of retrieved decoded pictures/frames (Fig. 4, 402, 403) to a display device (To Display) **while scaling video pictures/frames in transit to the display device to a second spatial (reduced) resolution** **without** storing pictures in a memory component, wherein the second spatial resolution is smaller than the first spatial resolution (from 401 or 402) for efficiently managing the memory resources such as size or the bandwidth (col. 10, lines 1-4) and implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders (col. 17, lines 66-67; col. 18, lines 12-38; col. 2, lines 37-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing the DHCT and the method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT as taught by MacInnis et al to incorporate all of the teachings as taught by Kalra et al and Boyce et al so as to transfer the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed video frames to a display device while downscaling video frames in transit to the display device to a second spatial resolution without storing pictures in a memory component, wherein the second spatial resolution is smaller than the first spatial resolution for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders, and to operate in a plurality of resource constraint modes and determine whether one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode in the DHCT for reproducing video images with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer, and also incorporate the memory component storing compressed video data in a distinct second portion for efficiently managing the memory resources such as size or the bandwidth.

**Regarding claim 55,** MacInnis et al discloses a method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT (abs.; Fig. 1), comprising:

operating the DHCT (set-top box)(abs.) in either a non-resource constraint mode (does not have real time constraints) and a resource constraint mode (specific bandwidth requirement mode), the DHCT capable of operating in the non-resource constraint mode (does not have real time constraints) and the resource constraint mode (specific bandwidth requirement mode)(col. 54, lines 36-48; col. 55, lines 8-35);

receiving, in a memory component (Fig. 1, VIDEO IN), video frames each comprising a complete picture;

retrieving the video frames from the memory component (Fig. 1,10); and transferring the retrieved video frames (Fig. 2, 50) to a display device (abs.; television display (Fig. 2, Video Out), and downscaling the received video frames in transit to the display device (52; col. 5, lines 5-67; col. 6, lines 1-9)

MacInnis et al does not seem to particularly disclose operating in a plurality of resource constrained modes, and determining whether one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode, and transferring the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed video data to a display device **while downscaling the video picture in transit to the display device.**

However, Kalra et al teaches a scalable media delivery system, comprising operating in a plurality of resource constraint modes, and determining whether one of a resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode (col. 17, lines 10-55) for reproducing video images with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer (col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-3).

Furthermore, Boyce et al teaches transferring the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed (decoded) video frames (from Fig. 4, 402 and 403) to a display device (TO DISPLAY) **while** downscaling (Reduced Resolution) the video picture in transit to the display device for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders (Fig. 4, col. 17, lines 66-67; col. 18, lines 1-16; col. 2, lines 37-40).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a computer readable medium containing a program for use in the DHCT and a method for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT as taught by MacInnis et al to incorporate all of the teachings as taught by Kalra et al and Boyce et al so as to operate in a plurality of resource constrained modes, and to determine whether one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, and responsive to determining that one of the resource constrained modes is to be initiated, initiating the resource constraint mode for reproducing video images with a resolution that is optimized to the capabilities of the client computer, and to transfer the set of retrieved reconstructed decompressed video frames to a display device while downscaling the video frames in transit to the display device for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders.

**Regarding claims 74, 78, and 82,** MacInnis et al discloses transmitting graphics data to the display device (Fig. 2, 50; abs.; television display; Fig. 2, Video Out).

Furthermore, Boyce et al teaches graphics data (Fig. 4, 401) being displayed contemporaneously with the scaled video data (402, 403) for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders (col. 2, lines 37-40).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a DHCT for adapting to resource constraints of the DHCT as taught by MacInnis et al to incorporate all of the teaching as taught by Boyce et al for implementing picture-in-picture capabilities in a digital TV without incurring the cost of multiple full resolution decoders.

**Regarding claim 71,** MacInnis et al discloses transmitting graphics data to the display device (Fig. 2, 50; abs.; television display; Fig. 2, Video Out), and Boyce et al teaches graphics data (Fig. 4, 401) being displayed contemporaneously with the scaled video data (402, 403).

**Regarding claims 72-73, 75-77, and 80-81,** MacInnis et al discloses horizontal and vertical downscaling (col. 44, lines 14-21).

**Regarding claims 85-88,** MacInnis et al discloses a memory constrained mode (col. 55, lines 17-35) and Kalra et al teaches a bus-bandwidth constrained mode (col. 17, lines 10-24).

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art to recognize that the above constrained modes could very well be combined to represent a memory and bus-bandwidth constrained mode to accommodate both memory and bus-bandwidth constrained modes as a whole.

### ***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418.

7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SHAWN AN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

2/28/10

Application/Control Number: 09/736,661  
Art Unit: 2621

Page 11